


MULTI AGENCY SAFEGUARDING HUB


PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (31 January 2019)


Contact Information	YTD*	Rate	Jan	Dec	Nov	
Updated to Reflect Change in Process	-	-	-	-	-	
Contacts Received	13,738		1,365	1,224	1,567	
Outcome - Referral to Social Care	3,184	20%	279	255	369	
- Referral to CAF	1,913	20%	269	216	235	
- NFA/Provision of Info.	3,933	46%	627	361	635	
- Outcome of NFA	2,950	0%	0	192	65	
- Signposting	1,737	14%	187	200	258	
Contacts received not assessed in Month	21	0%	3	0	5	

*YTD data is based on new reporting from Apr 18

Referral Information		Rate	Jan	Dec	Nov
Referrals by month	2,888		249	248	345
Referrals per 10,000 Child Population		298.0			
Re Referrals (Within 12 Months)	620	21%	63	55	57
Source - Police/Legal Agency	1,000	35%	107	61	98
- School/Education Setting	708	25%	53	108	88
- Health Services	436	15%	33	39	47
- LA Services	451	16%	40	31	77
- Other (Incl Missing)	293	10%	16	9	35










EARLY HELP ASSESSMENT (EHA)

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (31 January 2019)





	YTD	Jan	Dec	Nov
EHAs started in the month	1374	260	100	160




Open EHA durations	No.	%
0-3 mths	435	52.6%
3- 6 mths	134	16.2%
6-9 mths	95	11.5%
9-12 mths	90	10.9%
>1 Year	73	8.8%

EHA Closure Reason/Success Rating (Jan 2019)

n=93	М	let	Not Met Relevant Partial		Partially Met				
Needs Met/Action Plan Completed	32	43%	0	0%	0	0%	12	16%	
Child Reached 18 Years of Age	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	
Child Moved to Another Authority	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
EHA not started	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
Consent Withdrawn/Family Disengage from EHA Process	6	8%	6	8%	0	0%	7	9%	
Signposting/Advice Offered	3	4%	3	4%	3	4%	7	9%	
Stepped Up to Social Care	2	3%	3	4%	3	4%	2	3%	

EHA by Lead Professional by Month	Jan	Dec	Nov	
EAST Central Locality	188	136	131	
West Locality	213	152	158	
South Locality	124	85	88	
0-19 Service	2	4	7	
Central Edu Support Team	1	2	2	
Children's Centre	45	70	72	
Educ Setting	182	194	193	
PVI	3	3	3	
Social Care	12	6	8	
Vol. sector	21	18	17	
Youth/ Family Resilience Team	13	11	13	
Unknown	21	8		
Vol.sector,Internal system	23			
vol.sector, internal system				


Early Help, Early Years & Troubled Families



STRAT DISCUSSIONS, S47'S & ICPCs

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (31 January 2019)

Strategy Discus	sion Involvements:	Y	D*	Jan	January		
Number of Meetings	Recorded as Completed	4	73	43			
Social Care	Number and Rate Invited	473	100%	43	100%		
Social Care	Number and Rate Attended	473	100%	43	100%		
Police	Number and Rate Invited	454	96%	42	98%		
Police	Number and Rate Attended	419	92.3%	42	100%		
Health	Number and Rate Invited	388	82.0%	30	70%		
rieditii	Number and Rate Attended	349	89.9%	25	83.3%		
Education	Number and Rate Invited	295	62.4%	31	72.1%		
Education	Number and Rate Attended	276	93.6%	29	93.5%		
 *YTD Period Begins April 18							



Number of S47's in Month	46
	No.
TTD Feriou begins April 10	

Percentage of Section 47s leading to:	No.	%
Continue with C&F	27	58.7%
Progressing to an ICPC	14	30.4%
Legal Action	0	0.0%
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA	5	10.9%
Other Agency to monitor welfare	0	0.0%

Section 47 by Team	No.	%
Duty & Assessment Team 1	11	23.9%
Duty & Assessment Team 2	2	4.3%
Duty & Assessment Team 3	19	41.3%
Duty & Assessment Team 4	3	6.5%
Intervention & Planning Team 1	0	0.0%
Intervention & Planning Team 2	0	0.0%
Intervention & Planning Team 3	3	6.5%
Intervention & Planning Team 4	0	0.0%
Intervention & Planning Team 5	2	4.3%
Intervention & Planning Team 6	0	0.0%
Intervention & Planning Team 7	6	13.0%
0-25	0	0.0%

ICPC's	Confs	Children
Number in Month	3	5
	No.	Rate
Conferences in 15 Days	3	100.0%


45 -	T											
40 -												
35 -												
30 -		-										
25 -												
20 -						•						
15 -												• • • •
10 -												
5 -												
0 -	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
■ 17/18	4	12	21	22	5	35	34	32	17	10	21	40
18/19	25	31	22	17	38	18	25	19	14	5		

	_					
	Ci	urrent Mon	th		Year to Date	
	Number	%	Group	Number	%	Group
Under 1	1	20.0%	-	39	18.2%	ı
1	0	0.0%		6	2.8%	
2	0	0.0%		14	6.5%	
3	0	0.0%	0.0%	8	3.7%	23.4%
4	0	0.0%		15	7.0%	
5	0	0.0%		7	3.3%	
6	0	0.0%		12	5.6%	
7	0	0.0%		8	3.7%	
8	0	0.0%	20.0%	9	4.2%	26.6%
9	1	20.0%		15	7.0%	
10	0	0.0%		13	6.1%	
11	0	0.0%		18	8.4%	
12	0	0.0%		6	2.8%	
13	1	20.0%	60.0%	12	5.6%	27.1%
14	1	20.0%		12	5.6%	
15	1	20.0%		10	4.7%	
16	0	0.0%	0.0%	6	2.8%	4.7%
17	0	0.0%	0.0%	4	1.9%	4./%
	5			214		


ASSESSMENTS

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (31 January 2019)


Assessments	No.	%		
All Assessments Completed in Month	290	-		
Children seen during Assessment	279	96.2%		
Percentage of Assessments Completed within 45 Working Days	251	86.6%		
0-25 days	144	49.7%		
26-40 days	87	30.0%		
41-45 days	20	6.9%		
46+ days	39	13.4%		
Outcome of Assessments	No.	%		
Child In Need - Update Child's Plan	79	27.2%		
Legal Action	0	0.0%		
No Further Action	132	45.5%		
Continue with CIN/CP/CLA	58	20.0%		= 17
Referral to Other Agency	1	0.3%		• %
Step Down to Early Help System	19	6.6%		
Strategy Discussion	1	0.3%		5
				5
First Assessment of Referral	232	80.0%		4
Assessments by Team	Initial Assess	Other	%	3
0-25 Service	1	1	1%	2
Duty & Assessment Team 1	36	4	14%	1
Outy & Assessment Team 2	60	3	22%	
Duty & Assessment Team 3	51	4	19%	
Duty & Assessment Team 4	63	0	22%	
Intervention & Planning Team 1	2	2	1%	
Intervention & Planning Team 2	0	5	2%	
Intervention & Planning Team 3	0	9	3%	
Intervention & Planning Team 4	0	9	3%	
Intervention & Planning Team 5	5	12	6%	
Intervention & Planning Team 6	9	1	3%	
Intervention & Planning Team 7	1	2	1%	
REACH	0	5	2%	
Other	4	1	2%	




Age of Children subject to Assessment



Children in Need





Children in Need




CHILD PROTECTION SERVICE


PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (31 January 2019)








Child Protection Visits on Time





Case Holding Team	Cases	Visits
Intervention & Planning Team 1	23	72%
Intervention & Planning Team 2	12	73%
Intervention & Planning Team 3	28	84%
Intervention & Planning Team 4	21	55%
Intervention & Planning Team 5	17	74%
Intervention & Planning Team 6	29	46%
Intervention & Planning Team 7	11	80%
OTHER	18	80%
TOTALS	159	71.0%


Child Protection




Child Protection











6

Sep

4

5

Oct

1

3

Nov

2

2

Dec

2

2

Jan

0

Chart 9 - No. of Children Subject to CP Plans for 2+ Years

Monitor 3

11

10

■ 17/18

18/19

Data Comments: The number of children subject to a CP Plan for over 2 years had remained low for over a year, has now reduced to zero.

Jul

5

3

Jun

6

3

Aug

8

3

May

6

Apr

11

Target: Monitor Latest Variance : SN: 2.8

Feb

Mar

Data Comments: The number of children on Child Protection Plans and who are also Looked After has remained at 3 children for the last 2-months.

SN:

Chart 10 - Children Subject to a Subsequent CP Plan (Ever)

Cumulative Figures						
Month	17/18	18/19	No's (18/19)			
Apr	0.0%	31.8%	7	Gei	nder	No's
May	0.0%	15.9%	7	Male	45%	9
Jun	4.8%	11.9%	8	Female	55%	11
Jul	10.0%	14.6%	12	Ag	jes	No's
Aug	10.6%	10.5%	12	U1	0%	0
Sep	7.9%	11.0%	15	1 to 5	25%	5
Oct	7.0%	10.1%	16	6 to 10	31%	8
Nov	7.6%	10.2%	18	11 to 15	44%	7
Dec	8.0%	9.5%	18	16+	0%	0
Jan	8.6%	10.6%	20			
Feb	11.3%					
Mar	10.7%			1		

Data Comments: There were 2 Child Protection reregistrations this month. At a rate of 10.6%, the measure of Ichildren subject to a subsequent CP Plan is within the year

Target: 15.6% Latest: 10.6%

Target:


Latest:

Variance:

CHILDREN IN CARE SERVICE

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (31 January 2019)

Current Legal Status	Jan	%	Dec	Nov
Interim Care Order	52	16.5%	53	50
Full Care Order	126	39.9%	127	129
Section 20	120	38.0%	126	126
Placement Order - In Adoptive Placement	5	1.6%	5	4
Placement Order - Awaiting Placement	7	2.2%	7	7
Emergency Protection Order - In LA Accommodtion	4	1.3%	3	3
Remanded to LA Accommodation or Youth Detention Accomm	1	0.3%	1	1
Other or Missing	1	0.3%	1	1
Total Number of Children in Care	316	-	323	321
Facts & Figures	No.	%	No.	No.
Rate of Children in Care per 10,000 u18 Population	32.6	-	33.3	33.1
Number of Children with 3+ Placements / Rate Former NI62 (Cum)	30	9.5%	30	25
Longer Term Stability Measure / Rate Former NI63 (Cum)	74	57.4%	77	79
Number/Rate of Children Adopted from Care in Year (Cum)	5	2.3%	3	2
Number/Rate of Children with Special Guardianship Order (Cum)	9	6.2%	8	8
Review Health Assessments Completed within Timescale	241	96.4%	95.3%	95.8%
Number of Children Who Are Privately Fostered	6	-	6	6



Age and Gender Profile of Children in Care 120 100 60 40 20 Under 1 1 to 5 6 to 10 11 to 15 ■Boys 17 66 ■Girls 16 20 41 38 Unknown

■Accommodated

■No Longer CLA

Net Cum. Gain / (Loss)

Apr

11

14

May

13

-10

Jun

8

13

-15

Jul

18

14

-11

Aug

24

-3

Monthly Profile of Newly Accommodated & De Accommodated Children

Sep

17

15

-1

Oct

16

Nov

6

14

-7

Dec

10

10

-7

Jan

10

17

-14

Feb Mar 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

	Gender		%	Time in Care System	m	%	
	Male	192	60.8%	0-6 Months	129	40.8%	
	Female	124	39.2%	6-12 Months	54	17.1%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	12-18 Months	38	12.0%	
Ratio	of M to F	1.54 : 1	-	18-24 Months	22	7.0%	


Characteristics of Children Currently in Care

Ethnicity % Children in Care 181 57.3% Asian 70 22.2% I & P (Incl REACH) 68 21.5% Black 55 17.4% Onwards & Upwards 41 13.0% Mixed 62 19.6% Duty & Assess. 7 2.2%	
Black 55 17.4% Onwards & Upwards 41 13.0%	
Mixed 62 19.6% Duty & Access 7 2.2%	
17.0 % Duty & A33c33.	
White 128 40.5% 0-25 Service 13 4.1%	
Missing 1 0.3% Adoption Team 4 1.3%	
Other 2 0.6%	
UASC No. % of CiC	
Placement Provision%Section 205918.7%	
Barnet 150 47.5% Care Order 3 0.9%	
Other 166 52.5% Other 0 0.0%	

Over 24 Months

Children in Care

Chart 1 - Children in Care by Service Area




Data Comments: Cases held by the CIC Team have fallen by 0.4% when compared to December 2018 and a 10.5% decrease against the same period the previous year. Likewise, 0-25 and O&U saw their share decrease whilst DATs and I&P saw increases.

Chart 2 - Current CiC by Service & Length of Time in Care

Service Area	<1M	2-3M	4-6M	7-12M	13-18M	19-24M	24M+
Children in Care	7	14	20	35	28	14	63
Intervention & Plan.	12	9	29	8	2	0	0
Onwards & Upwards	1	7	13	7	5	5	3
Duty & Assessment	4	0	3	0	0	0	0
0-25 Service	2	0	2	0	3	1	5
Other	0	1	5	4	0	2	2
	26	31	72	54	38	22	73


Chart 3 - Timeliness of Children in Care Visits



Data Comments: CIC visits are currently at 89%, 6% below the target of 95%. We have analysed visits that were deemed to have failed, but would have been in time; for CIC visits the outturn would have been 91%.

Target	: 95.0%
Latest	: 89.0%
Variance	: (6.7%)
SN	:

Chart 4 - CiC with 3+ Placements in 12 Months



Data Comments: Currently there are 30 children with 3 or more placements since April 1st 2018, and 38 in the last 12 months. Two of these will be 18 before March 31st and will not therefore count in the year end statutory returns. There are also 68 children and young people with 2 placements.


of Target: 11.5%

Latest: 9.5%

Variance: (17.4%)


SN: 11.5%

Children in Care



Children in Care

Chart 8 - Percentage of LAC Reviews Completed on Time



Data Comments: Due to systems improvements now being completed, we are now able to report on the correct timeliness of CIC Reviews being completed in time.

Target :	95.0%
Latest :	93.6%
Variance :	(1.5%)

Chart 9 - Children in Care Subject to a Section 20

Age Grouping	Boys	Girls	Not Known	Total	Avg Time
Under 1	1	1	0	2	14.6 wks
1 to 5	3	1	0	4	26.8 wks
6 to 10	0	3	0	3	15.1 wks
11 to 15	21	11	0	32	39.6 wks
16+	66	13	0	79	53.1 wks
Total	91	29	0	120	
Avg Time in Care	52.3 wks	30.5 wks	-		

The Ratio of Boys to Girls in the wider CiC cohort is 1.67 : 1 whereas the Ratio for those on a Section 20 Order is 3.57 : 1

Data Comments: The 11 to 16+ cohort includes 59 of the 62 UASC population (55 boys and 4 girls).

UNACCOMPANIED ASYLUM SEEKING CHILDREN (UNDER 18)

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (31 January 2019)


Current Number of UASC	62	-
Time in Care (Years)	No.	%
Less than a Year	38	61.3%
1	17	27.4%
2	2	3.2%
3	2	3.2%
4	3	4.8%
Average Time in Care	1 Year 4	4 Months
Current Placement	No	%
Fostering	36	58.1%
	25	40.3%
Semi Independence		
Residential	1	1.6%
UASC by Team	No	%
Children In Care Team 1	9	14.5%
Children In Care Team 2	9	14.5%
Children In Care Team 3	15	24.2%
Duty & Assessment Team 1	0	0.0%
Duty & Assessment Team 2	1	1.6%
Duty & Assessment Team 3	1	1.6%
Duty & Assessment Team 4	0	0.0%
Onwards & Upwards	27	43.5%


The numbers of UASC has reduced this month from 67 in December to 62 in January 2019, this is an increase of 4 UASC against the same period the previous year.

Rate of UASC who are Male: 93.5%


Rate of Males aged 16-17 : 72.4%

Rate of UASC placed in Semi Indep. or Fostering: 98.4%





· cinaic		
Nationality	Number	Rate
Afgan	25	40.3%
Albanian	11	17.7%
Eritrean	7	11.3%
Ethiopian	4	6.5%
Iranian	1	1.6%
Iraqi	1	1.6%
Kurdish	1	1.6%
Kuwaiti	1	1.6%
Palestinian	1	1.6%
Sudanese	1	1.6%
Vietnamese	6	9.7%
Pakistani	1	1.6%
Missing	2	3.2%
	62	



CARE LEAVERS & 0-25 SERVICES

PERFORMANCE ON A PAGE (31 January 2019)


Care Leavers	No.	%
Number of Care Leavers by age	265	-
18	79	29.8%
19	77	29.1%
20	52	19.6%
21	28	10.6%
22+	29	10.9%
Care Leavers (19 - 21) in Employment, Education or Training	106	67.5%
Young person engaged in higher education (i.e. beyond A level)	18	17.0%
Young person engaged in education other than higher education	50	47.2%
Young person engaged in training or employment	38	35.8%
Percentage of care leavers who have been in touch in the previous 12 months - Target 90%	235	88.7%
Care leavers (aged 19 - 21) in suitable accommodation	151	96.2%
B - With parents or relatives	9	6.0%
C - Community home or other form of residential care	5	3.3%
D - Semi-independent, transitional accommodation	24	15.9%
E - Supported lodgings	4	2.6%
T - Foyers	5	3.3%
U - Independent living	84	55.6%
V - Stepping Stone accommodation (Postcode In Barnet n = 7)	1	0.7%
Y - Other accommodation	7	4.6%
Z - With Former foster carers/Staying Put	12	7.9%
Number of Care Leavers at University	23	-


100% -

Care Leavers with up to date Pathway Plans (Plans created or Updated in the last 6 months)


90% -												
80% -					Y					16		
70% -		-			1							
60% -												
50% -												
40% -					1							
30% -					1					-		
20% -					ш					-		
10% -					ш	-11				- -		
0% -	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
■ 17/18	79%	81%	88%	84%	79%	83%	76%	75%	71%	85%	82%	83%
■ 18/19	89%	86%	85%	85%	84%	82%	78%	78%	78%	81%		









Youth Offending




Youth Offending



December 2018 Quality Assurance Dashboard





Service Area - Audit Gradings Breakdown - Regular and Annex A Audits

		1.140		6 40	0 1 40	N 40	5 40	1 40	F 1 40	24.40	10
		Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19	Apr-19
	Good	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Early Help	RI	1	0	3	0	0	0	0			
	Inadequate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Good	4	2	5	0	3	1	12			
Duty and Assessment	RI	9	9	8	6	11	5	15			
	Inadequate	1	0	2	0	0	0	1			
	Good	2	1	1	2	0	2	2			
Intervention and Planning	RI	10	7	7	3	0	6	10			
	Inadequate	1	4	2	0	1	2				
	Good	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
REACH	RI	0	0	1	0	0	0	0			
	Inadequate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Good	1	1	2	0	1	0	1			
CP - Children in Care	RI	2	0	0	0	0	0	4			
Care	Inadequate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1			
	Good	0	1	0	1	0	0	0			
CP - Adoption and Post Permanence	RI	0	0	0	1	0	0	0			
1 ose i ermanence	Inadequate		0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Good	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Placements and Disabilities	RI	1	2	0	0	0	0	0			
Disabilities	Inadequate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
CP - Onwards and Upwards	Good	0	0	1	0	0	1	0			
	RI	1	2	0	0	3	3	4			
	Inadequate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1			
	TOTAL	33	29	32	13	19	20	51			

January 2019 Quality Assurance Dashboard

Domain Outcome- Combined Assessments

	Go	ood	RI		Inadequate		Not G	Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count
Nov-18	4	25%	9	56%	3	19%	0	0%	16
Dec-18	6	35%	9	53%	2	12%	0	0%	17
Jan-19	16	36%	25	56%	4	9%	0	0%	45

N/A
No.
3
3
4

Domain Outcome - Management Oversight

	Go	od	RI		Inadequate		Not G	Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count
Nov-18	6	32%	11	58%	2	11%	0	0%	19
Dec-18	2	10%	16	80%	2	10%	0	0%	20
Jan-19	17	35%	27	55%	5	10%	0	0%	49

N/A
No.
0
0
0

Domain Outcome - CPC, Plan and core group meetings

	Go	od	R	RI		Inadequate		Not Graded		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count	
Nov-18	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	
Dec-18	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	
Jan-19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	

N/A
No.
18
17
0

Domain Outcome - CIN

	Go	od	R	RI		quate	Not G	Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count
Nov-18	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3
Dec-18	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Jan-19	1	14%	6	86%	0	0%	0	0%	7

N/A
No.
16
16
44

Domain Outcome - CiC

	Good		RI		Inadequate		Not G	Total		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Count	
Nov-18	3	50%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	6	
Dec-18	4	36%	5	45%	2	18%	0	0%	11	
Jan-19	1	14%	4	57%	2	29%	0	0%	7	

N/A
No.
13
9
44



	Barnet Chile		ashboard vices Impr	ovement	Plan				LONDON BOR
Plan ID	Measure	Previous Period	Latest Position	Char		Change Ofst		Target	Rating
To d	rive sustainable practice improveme	ent at pac	e						
TC	Posts over establishment	Dec 18	Jan 19						
T6	Unfunded Posts	8	5	-3	•				
	Social Work staff makeup	Dec 18	Jan 19						
	Permanent	69%	68%	-1%	•				
Т6	Agency	29%	28%	-1%	•				
	Vacant	2%	4%	2%	•				
	Average Caseload Numbers	Dec 18	Jan 19						
T8 2a(i)	Duty and Assessment	14.7	13.6	-1.1	•	28.3	•		
	Intervention and Planning	15	16.4	1.4	1	17.3	1		
	Children in Care	13.1	13.2	0.1	1	15.9	1		
	0-25	14.8	13.5	-1.3		17.6	1		
	Onwards and Upwards	20.8	21.5	0.7	1	21.2	1		
	REACH	9.25	9.5	0.3	•	9.8	1		
Stre	ngthened systems leadership for ch	ildren							
	Children's input into conferences	Dec 18	Jan 19						
2a(vii)	Conferences: attended (PN1 and PN3)	4%	12%	8%	•				
	Conferences: views sent (PN0-PN6)	86%	96%	10%	•				
	Case supervisions within timescales	Case allocated Less than 6 weeks	In Tme Supervision	Supervision Overdue	No Supervision Record				
	Duty & Assessment	39%	50%	8%	3%				
	Intervention & Planning	10%	55%	33%	2%				
3a(vii)	0-25 Service	9%	54%	29%	7%				
	Chilldren In Care	5%	40%	55%	0%				
	Onwards & Upwards	6%	43%	40%	11%				
	REACH	5%	76%	18%	0%				
		DAT	I&P	0-25	CIC	O&U	RI	EACH	
	Number of Group Supervision's within the last 3 months						1		
	Number of Group Supervision's within the last 3 months	59	12	0	0	0		13	



Plan ID	Measure	Previous Period	Latest Position	Chan	ige	Change Ofst		Target	Rating	
Effe	ctive MASH									
45/iv)	Timeliness of contact decision	Dec 18	Jan 19							
4a(iv)	Decision on contact made within 24 hours	47%	59%	12%	•	53%	1			
4 a (iv s)	Timeliness of assessment	Dec 18	Jan 19							
4a(iv)		Perf	ormance Matte	rs				-		
Effe	ctive decision making									
()	Number of children subject to Pre-Proceedings	Dec 18	Jan 19							
4b(ii)		17		-1	+					
	Multi agency involvement in Strategy Discussions	Dec 18	Jan 19	System change	e and new re	port from J	an 2018			
	Performance Matters									
4b(ii)	Performance Matters									
	Performance Matters									
	Performance Matters									
41-7"	S47: length of time open	Dec 18	Jan 19							
4b(ii)		18.6 days	10.7 days	+5.5 days	1	15.5 days	1			
	S47: Outcome	Dec 18	Jan 19							
	Performance Matters									
4b(ii)	Performance Matters									
	Performance Matters									
		Perf	ormance Matte	rs						
Stre	ngthen assessment									
	Average Length of missing episode	Dec 18	Jan 19							
5a(iii)	From Home	1.6	3.0	1.4	1	1.1	1			
	From Care	2.3	2.8	0.5	1	2.4	1			
	Overall number of missing episodes	Dec 18	Jan 19							
5a(iii)	From Home	16	23	7	1	22	1			
	From Care	36	64	28	1	45	1			



	2018	APP	ENDIX A					E	ARN	
Plan ID	Measure	Previous Period	Latest Position	Chan	ge	Change Ofsi		Target	Rating	
Chile	d centred plans									
	Number of escalations of plans	Dec 18	Jan 19							
Sa(iv)	To Child Protection	Performance Matters								
	To Child in Care		Performance Matters							
6a(vii)	Pre-proceedings progressing to care proceedings	Dec 18	Jan 19							
		3		-1	•		•		-	
a(vii)	Timeliness of care proceedings	Dec 18	Jan 19							
a(vii)		31.7 weeks		+1.3 week	1				7	
	Outcomes of care proceedings	Dec 18	Jan 19							
	Placement Orders	0		0	++				_	
	Care Orders	1		-4	•					
6a(vii)	SGO	0		-1	•					
	Supervision Order	4		+2	1					
	Child Arrangement Order	1		-2	•					
	No Public Law Order	2		+2	1					
6a(ix)	Number of children participating in life story work	Dec 18	Jan 19							
		22	20	-2	•					
lans	achieving best outcomes									
`h/\.;;;\	Percent NEET young people (16 -18)	Dec 18	Jan 19							
b(vii)		1.2%	1.2%	0%	++	1.9%	•			
:h/vii\	How many EET care leavers	Dec 18	Jan 19							
b(vii)		Perf	ormance Matte	ers						
	Positive upward change		•	Negative upwa	ard change					
	Positive downward change			Negative dow	nward chang	ge				

LONDON BOROUGH OF BARNET **VULNERABLE ADOLESCENTS SUMMARY**

Report: Date of report run: Reporting Period:

VA Performance Report, Jan - Jan 2019 14-Feb-19 (figures are **as at** 14 Feb 2019) 01 Jan 2018 to 31 Jan 2019

Report:

VA Dataset

*Note: 18 year olds and OLA removed from summar

175

young people in Vulnerable Adolescents Dataset Jan 2018 - Jan 2019

Criteria: Missing, CSE, Gangs or SEAM in reporting period (aged under 18, only Barnet LA)



• young people reported missing

170

- missing for 2.1 days, on average
- were aged 12-15 years

44%

- 81 males, 89 females
- missing from care
- 956 missing episodes (83% of all missing episodes)

42%

36

• at risk of CSE

- 78% are females
- at risk of gang/serious youth violence

13

- 31% are female
- in care during the period (42%)

74

- 22 have been CIN at some point
- involved with Troubled

57

- Families Programme
- school exclusion recorded

24

- 28/45 30%
- 52 had a SEAM Strategy Meeting
- average SEAM score, out of 45
- referred to MACE / **VARP Panels**

22




Vulnerable

SEAM EPISODES


VA - VULNERABLE ADOLESCENTS PERFORMANCE REPORT

This reports on the the most vulnerable adolescents within Children's Social Care. The age range is 12 - 17 years, and the reporting period is Jan - Jan 2019. Notes: SEAM process went operational in Summer 2017. The 'SEAM closure' button was added in April 2018. NRM button added in Sept 2018.

SEAM Episode: The duration of time a young person is open to SEAM procedures; there may be multiple SEAMs SEAM: Actual SEAM meeting with professionals, including sign-off of SEAM meeting notes/actions Initial SEAM: First SEAM meeting (and first set of SEAM scores) for a young person, within a SEAM Episode Review SEAM: Follow-up SEAM meetings (and reviewed SEAM scores) within a SEAM Episode










(cumulative)		
(cumulauve)	n	%
Needs met/Risk significantly reduced		
Family/Young Person moved overseas		
Family/Young Person moved OOB		
Refusal to engage with services		
Young Person turned 18 (no leaving care sta	ıt	
Young Person turned 18 (open to leaving ca	re	
Closed to Social Care		
Total SEAM closures		














CSE & GANG EPISODES


25





GANGS EPISODES CLOSED








CSE	Average Days Open	Number of Episodes
2018		
Qtr1	245	5 7
Qtr2	174	7
Qtr3	112	2 12
Qtr4	57	7 11
2019		
Qtr1	23	3 1
Qtr2		
Qtr3		
Qtr4		

Commentary:
8 CSE opisodes opened in the 13 months to January 2019
8 CSE opisodes closed in the 13 months to January 2019.
8 CSE opisodes closed in the 13 months to January 2019. In July 2018 the CSE Team did a data cleanse and closed several CSE episodes.
8 CSE opisodes opened in the 13 months to January 2019
2 Gang episodes closed in the 13 months to January 2019 (21 Gang episodes closed as part of data cleanse on 30 Oct 2018)











MISSING

VA - VIII NERABI E ADOI ESCENTS PERFORMANCE REPORT









Commentary:

> 264 individual young people have been reported missing since 01 Jan 2018 > 1,487 missing episodes have been recorded since this time; giving a ratio of around 6 missing episodes per person (11-17 year olds, Barnet LA and blank LA)

> Volume of missing in 2018 has increased compared with 2017, likely due to improvements in reporting. However October 2018 saw more young people going missing and for longer periods.

> Young people in care go missing more frequently than young people at home. 63% of young men who go missing are missing from care, compared with 80% of young women who go missing.



